

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,373	07/18/2003	Eric Aylaian	A-72337/ENB	3183
32940 DORSEY & W	7590 11/28/200 HITNEY LLP	7	EXAMINER	
555 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1000			PHASGE, ARUN S	
SUITE 1000 SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/623,373	AYLAIAN, ERIC		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Arun S. Phasge	1795		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY SHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2007.			
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12-25 and 28-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-25 and 28-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number:

10/623,373

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 12-25, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muskatevc, U.S. Patent 6,087,579.

The Muskatevc patent discloses the claimed solar collector for converting light incident thereon into electrical energy comprising a first and second substrate having a photovoltaic cell formed on a surface thereof, the surfaces of the substrates being oriented at angles relative to each other and to a director of propagation of light incident on the solar collector such that the light incident on the solar collector and reflected from the first substrate can be reflected directly onto the surface of the second substrate to enhance the efficiency of the solar collector (see col. 5, lines 19-50 and col. 6, lines 13-44).

Figures 5-9 show the use of two substrates, however, the use of three of more substrates is not explicitly spelled out or the shapes formed by the three or more substrates. The reference does disclose the use of a number of different

Application/Control Number:

10/623,373

Art Unit: 1795

panels or substrates, because it teaches that the size of the angle between the solar panels is inversely related to the number of panels (see col. 6, lines 44-50).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Muskatevc patent, because the Muskatevc patent teaches the use of a plurality of panels positioned with regard to the angle between the solar panels. The shapes formed by the arrangement of the panels given the disclosure of the Muskatevc patent would be rendered obvious to the ordinary artisan, unless the shape provides an unexpected result.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a

Application/Control Number:

10/623,373

Art Unit: 1795

nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12-16,28-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not 7,208,674. patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are fully encompassed by the claims of the prior patent.

Claims 17-25, 30-32 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,208,674 in view of Muskatevc applied as above. The prior patent does. not disclose the use of more than three substrates or the shapes produced by said use. The Muskatevc patent is cited to show the use of plural panels or substrates based on a desired or engineered choice by controlling the angle between the panels (see col. 6, lines 44-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use more than three panels, because the

Page 5

Application/Control Number:

10/623,373

Art Unit: 1795

Muskatevc patent teaches such a use. The shape of the arranged panels would be accomplished by the selection of the angles between the panels and therefore are obvious.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/623,373

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1795